women across this country to work, for example, upgrading 150,000 miles of highways and roads, laying 4,000 miles of train tracks, restoring 150 miles of airport runways and installing a modern air traffic control system that no longer relies on World War II-era technology and will reduce travel time and delays.

Since the economic downturn began, more than 2 million construction workers have lost their jobs. That has happened all over the country. This legislation will send hundreds of thousands of those workers back to job sites to build \$27 billion worth of roads, bridges, and other important aspects of our infrastructure.

The plan would fund \$250 million worth of projects in my State and millions of dollars in the State of Delaware and other States. It would support about 3,300 badly needed jobs.

Overall, the Rebuild America Jobs Act would invest \$50 billion, taking our citizens off the unemployment rolls and putting them back to work, ensuring our Nation has top-notch infrastructure once again.

It will also invest \$10 billion to create an infrastructure bank that would leverage public and private capital to fund a wide range of long-delayed projects.

It will do all this without adding one penny to the deficit. Instead, it would require millionaires and billionaires to contribute their fair share—those whose incomes are netting over \$1 million. They would be asked to pay a surcharge of less than 1 percent—seventenths of 1 percent, to be exact—to get this Nation's economy back on track.

Americans overwhelmingly support the Democrats' plan to invest in roadways, runways, and railways. Seventytwo percent of the American people support the Rebuild America Jobs Act.

I don't know if I have been to Jonesboro, AR. I had a case that took me all over that State on one occasion. But a man in Jonesboro, AR, is quoted in last week's Time magazine. "The Return of the Silent Majority." I believe Drew Ramey qualifies for that. This is what he told Time magazine:

I used to think I was a libertarian. . . . But I like my roads now. I like my public services.

That was Drew Ramey from Jonesboro, AR. He speaks for millions and millions of Americans, Americans of all political persuasions. Even 54 percent of Republicans believe a world-class economy should have world-class roads and bridges. They agree with what we are trying to do.

The U.S. Chamber of Commerce and labor union AFL-CIO rarely agree on anything, but they agree on this. They agree we should pass the Rebuild American Jobs Act to improve the woeful state of America's infrastructure. It is not only labor and business groups but transit officials, mayors, and three-quarters of the American people support our plan—76 percent.

I could quote one dozen of my Senate Republican colleagues who have supported aspects of this in the past. Why aren't they lining up to support our proposal? Two basic reasons. One, Republicans are determined to see President Obama fail, even if it means Americans fail with him—sad but true.

My colleague, the Republican leader, said his No. 1 goal in this Congress is to defeat President Obama. They would rather see Americans continue to struggle, as I have outlined, to find work than work together with the President and with us.

Second, Republicans are more concerned with protecting millionaires and billionaires than they are willing to work with us to put 14 million people back to work.

I heard on the radio this morning, on National Public Radio, that during the Bush years, we lost 8.6 million jobs. We have only gotten a little over 2 million of those back—2½ million, frankly. It wasn't long ago that a President who was in office for 8 years could boast, if he wanted to, about creating 23 million jobs.

That is what Republicans have given us. They refuse to ask the rich to contribute a tiny fraction more to secure our economic future, even if it costs more jobs.

In recent days, Republicans have shown new interest in the gulf between rich and poor that has motivated thousands to occupy parks across the country and make their voices heard. Apparently, they believe America's staggering income inequality makes a good talking point.

Yet while Democrats fight for jobs for the middle class, Republicans fight for tax breaks for the 1 percent of Americans who don't need our help.

I will bet if we could ask these very rich people would they be willing to give seven-tenths of 1 percent more to create millions of jobs, most of them would say yes. Why aren't my Republican colleagues supporting this simple, commonsense legislation?

I say to my Republican colleagues that I hope they will work with us. We want to work with them. If we can do something good, there is a lot of good will to go around. But we have to make sure the speeches we have heard from some of our colleagues about creating jobs amount to doing something about it. We have not seen it yet.

## RESERVATION OF LEADER TIME

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Under the previous order, leadership time is reserved.

## MORNING BUSINESS

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Under the previous order, there will now be a period of morning business until 4:30 p.m., with Senators permitted to speak therein for up to 10 minutes each.

The Senator from Hawaii is recognized.

Mr. AKAKA. I thank the Chair.

(The remarks of Mr. AKAKA pertaining to the introduction of S. 1763 are located in today's RECORD under "Statements on Introduced Bills and Joint Resolutions.")

Mr. AKAKA. Mr. President, I yield the floor.

## RECOGNITION OF THE MINORITY LEADER

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The minority leader is recognized.

## DEMOCRATIC INACTION

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, it is no secret that Congress isn't winning any popularity contest these days. Americans are fed up with lawmakers who are either focused on the wrong thing or determined to block any serious reforms that would actually get at the root of the problems we face. That is why Republicans have been focused not only on legislation which we think has a good chance of jump-starting private sector job creation in this country but which also has a good shot at actually becoming law. Put another way, since taking back the majority this year, Republicans in the House of Representatives have focused not only on legislation which avoids the economic missteps of the previous 21/2 years of Democratic control but legislation which also has a good shot of making it through a Democratic-led Senate.

You would never know it from listening to the President, but there has actually been a significant amount of bipartisan work that has been going on on Capitol Hill these days. House Republicans have passed bill after billmany of them with solid bipartisan support—that would help spur private sector job creation and would help get this economy moving again, but the Democrats who have run the Senate for the past 5 years have ignored virtually all of it. Senate Democrats have decided it isn't in the interest of their party for Congress to get anything done right now. They have adopted a strict strategy of inaction. They simply won't take "yes" for an answer.

The contrast between Republicans who run the House and Democrats who run the Senate couldn't be starker. Since taking over the House this year, House Republicans have searched for areas of common ground and then invited Democrats who run the Senate to take them up and pass them and send them on down to the President for a signature. Almost every single time, Senate Democrats have said no.

House Republicans now count more than 15 pieces of legislation that would help us chart a very different path from the one the President and his Democratic-controlled Congress have charted over the past few years. This is legislation that would unlock America's energy resources, cut back on excessive regulations that are holding back job creation, and enable businesses, such as Boeing, to make their